

Editorial

Hello Friends!

Minimal Access Surgical technology has enabled surgeons to perform disfiguring surgical procedures with few complications, faster recovery time, and only minute scars. Once the da Vinci Robotic Surgery came into market, it was looking like it will replace the laparoscopic surgery in future. US regulators began surveying robotic surgeons recent years about the da Vinci robots and they found a sharp increase in reports of adverse events, including 70 deaths since 2009. Many surgeons, in addition, question the benefits of the da Vinci because randomized trials have shown, it does not cut complications during hysterectomies compared with less-invasive surgical measures.

The da Vinci system is utilized in two thousand hospitals in United States of America, but many lawsuits has been filed. One of the lawsuits against Intuitive indicated surgeons were hastily trained to use the robotic surgical system by the company in order to move more products out the door faster. Intuitive surgical is saying that pressure to cut hospital costs, coupled with slower growth in the health cost procedures using robot surgery are to blame for the decline in use of da Vinci Robot. In my opinion da Vinci Robots are physically incapable of empathy or a warm touch that has always been an integral part of laparoscopic surgical undertakings.

I started doing da Vinci surgery at World Laparoscopy Hospital from 2010 but even in my opinion the new hospital should not be in hurry to buy da Vinci Robot and invest huge amount of money. Though specific minimal access surgical procedures are undeniably more efficient, faster, and less invasive or risky when utilizing the da Vinci, but few in-depth study have been documented. Statistical data comparing traditional laparoscopic surgical methods and da Vinci methods has shown that utilizing robots in the operating room only confers certain procedures an overall advantage.

RK Mishra
Editor-in-Chief

