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ABSTRACT

Aim: Abdominal wall ventral hernias are either midline or non-midline. Non-midline abdominal wall hernias are not a common entity and even rarer is a lateral ventral hernia. Laparoscopic management of these hernias are surgically challenging, and outcomes are unpredictable. This study aims to evaluate and analyze the results of laparoscopic repair of comparatively rare non-midline hernias done at the tertiary teaching hospital in the span of last four years.

Material and methods: For this retrospective descriptive study, from record file, all cases of laparoscopic ventral hernia repair done in the last four years (from 01/01/2012 to 01/01/2016) by the main author at Lady Hardinge Medical College screened and out of these, total of thirteen cases (n=13) of non-midline ventral hernia selected for their data analysis.

Results: Out of total thirteen cases (n = 13), a large percentage was of female gender (76.92%), their mean age of the patients were 43 +/- 9.30 years. (SD = 11.41). Range 24–64 years. Most of the patients were overweight with mean weight was 72.846 kg. (SD = 13.369). Mean operating time were 78.84 minutes (SD = 22.62) (range 60-120 minutes). One patient (7.69%) had developed chronic infected discharging sinus which ultimately required removal of mesh. Same and only patient in our series reported recurrence which makes an overall percentage of recurrence 07.69%.

Conclusion: Even though non-midline abdominal wall hernias are comparatively atypical in its presentation and challenging for the laparoscopic surgeon, overall patient’s epidemiology, the surgical outcome in term of recurrence and complications are not much different.

Clinical significance: Presentation of a non-midline hernia is atypical and surgically complex which require an experience to handle it.
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INTRODUCTION

Abdominal wall hernia represents the hernias coming out through defects in the abdominal wall fascia and muscle through which intra-abdominal or pre-peritoneal contents protrudes out. It can be either spontaneous or as a consequence of past surgery involving incision of the abdominal wall. Most of the time abdominal wall hernia tends to originate out of the midline probably through linea alba or weak midline vertical scar. Although abdominal wall hernias in its mid-line anatomical location whether spontaneous or incisional are very common, non-midline abdominal wall hernias are comparatively rare entity and even rarer is spontaneous lateral abdominal wall ventral hernia.

Even though non-midline or lateral abdominal wall hernias are rare occurrences and its management and outcome is not as simple as other ventral abdominal wall hernias, available data's or literature in respect of this is very limited. Even textbooks have not dedicated any separate chapter in respect of non-midline or lateral abdominal wall hernias.

In 1992, Leblanc first reported the repair of abdominal wall ventral hernia by laparoscopic route. He performed the surgery using four to five port and all repairs were made using 1-mm-thick expanded polytetrafluoroethylene patches inserted intraperitoneally and stapled to the anterior abdominal wall over the defects, making use of intra-abdominal pressure to secure the repair. Since then laparoscopic repair of ventral abdominal wall hernia has evolved rapidly and now been considered as well accepted and preferred approach for management of abdominal wall ventral hernia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

For this retrospective descriptive study, the record of all cases of non-midline ventral hernia repaired laparoscopically by the main author himself during the period from...
1 January 2012–1 January 2016 has been screened. Total of thirteen cases (n = 13) of a non-midline hernia found to be eligible for study in term of detail availability of case record. Apart from demographic and clinical profile, e.g., age, sex, weight, symptoms and its duration, comorbidity, past history of surgery; total duration of surgery (from making first incision to taking last suture), all intra and postoperative events, e.g., size and location of defect, intra and postoperative different complications, follow up period and reported recurrence looked in to and evaluated in detail.

Surgical Techniques

All cases were done as an elective case at Lady Hardinge Medical College and Smt. S.K. Hospital, New Delhi. For preoperative preparation, all patients were made medically fit in term of any associated medical comorbidities, diabetes control, cessation of smoking, weight reduction, etc. Operative techniques followed according to SAGES guideline and adhered to standard protocols based on different recommended trials.6-8 All cases were done under general anesthesia. All cases involving hernia below umbilical line had been routinely catheterized after induction of anesthesia and catheter was removed soon after completion of surgery. Strict antisepsic and aseptic protocols have been followed.

The procedure starts with the creation of pneumoperitoneum by a close technique using Veres needle mostly at palmer point or infra/supra umbilical location depending on the location of a hernia. First port inserted blindly and rest of the port under camera vision. In all cases, three ports, one camera 10–11 mm and two working port of 5 mm has been used. Placement of ports depends on site of a hernia. Mostly port has been placed on the lateral side of the abdomen with camera port in the center and at the possible distant location from defect area.9 After a thorough inspection of inside the abdomen first thing we do is adhesiolysis using electrocautery or a harmonic scalpel. A lot of patience and precautions are required for this step to prevent complication like bowel injury. Then reduction of the abdominal contents from the hernia wall hernia found to be operated by the main author. During four years, 13 cases of nonmidline abdominal wall hernia found to be operated by the main author. Out of thirteen cases, ten (76.92%) were female, and three (23.07%) were male with their mean age of 43 +/– 9.30 years (SD = 11.41). The range for age were 24–64 years. Out of thirteen cases, ten (76.92%) were female, and three (23.07%) were male with their mean age of 43 +/– 9.30 years (SD = 11.41). The range for age were 24–64 years.

The average duration of hospital stay for the patients were 6.61 days (SD = 4.17) in range of 3–19 days. Average follow-up periods were 21.15 months (SD = 11.857) in range of 1–40 months (Table 1).

All patients were having a common complaint of swelling, with five patients (38.46%) having pain along abdominal wall as this helps in reducing the dead space and preventing the postoperative seroma formation.

RESULTS

During four years, 13 cases of nonmidline abdominal wall hernia found to be operated by the main author. Out of thirteen cases, ten (76.92%) were female, and three (23.07%) were male with their mean age of 43 +/– 9.30 years (SD = 11.41). The range for age were 24–64 years. Mean weight of the patients were 72.846 kg (SD = 13.369) in range of 52–98 kg (Table 1).

The average duration of hospital stay for the patients were 6.61 days (SD = 4.17) in range of 3–19 days. Average follow-up periods were 21.15 months (SD = 11.857) in range of 1–40 months (Table 1).

All patients were having a common complaint of swelling, with five patients (38.46%) having pain along

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Average/Mean</th>
<th>Std. deviation (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years)</td>
<td>24–64</td>
<td>43 +/- 9.30</td>
<td>11.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td>Female = 10 (76.92%) and Male = 3 (23.07%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (in kg.)</td>
<td>52–98</td>
<td>72.846</td>
<td>13.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms (in months)</td>
<td>03–108</td>
<td>30.157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of hospital stay (in days)</td>
<td>03–19</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up period (in months)</td>
<td>01–40</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>11.857</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Epidemiological parameters and different time durations for patients
with swelling, five patients were having complete reducible swelling, six (46.15%) patients presented with partially reducible swelling and only one patient (7.69%) presented with non-reducible swelling. Mean duration of symptoms were 30.15 months within a range of three months to hundred and eight months (Table 2).

Among all patients (n = 13) six patients (46.15%) have got associated comorbidities out of which two were suffering from hypothyroidism, one hypertension along with hypothyroidism, one hypertension with dyslipidemia, one diabetes mellitus type–II and another one having hypertension. Rest of the patients (53.84%) were not having any associated comorbidities.

Out of total 13, 8 patients (61.53%) have got history of past surgery among which 5 (38.46%) were having lower abdominal surgery [LSCS–2 (15.38%)], LSCS with open appendicectomy–1 (07.69%), open appendicectomy–1 (7.69%), lap. Oophorectomy–1(07.69%) and three (23.07%) had history of upper abdominal surgery (lap. cholecystectomy–1 (07.69%), small open epigastric hernia repair-1 (07.69%), exploratory laparotomy for appendicular perforation peritonitis-1(07.69%). Five (38.46%) patients were not having any history of surgical intervention in the past.

Among all operated cases, anatomical location of a hernia in five cases (38.46%) were at lower part of abdomen (right lower hypogastrum and right iliac fossa–3 (23.07%), left lower–2(15.38%), another five (38.46%) found to be on upper part of abdomen (right subcostal and subxiphoid–1(07.69%), right upper abdomen–39 (23.07%), left upper abdomen–1 (07.69%) and three (23.07%) were on the line of umbilicus-left side–2 (15.38%), right side–1 (07.69%). All the larger size hernias were on the right lower abdomen. Out of thirteen patients, five (38.46%) were having tender swelling on physical examination.

As expected in non-midline or lateral hernias, size of defects was of comparatively smaller diameter. Average size of defect was 06.661 cm² (range of 2.5 cm² –35 cm²). The largest defect found in a patient with a large hernia involving right subcostal and lumbar area. Intra-operatively, out of total thirteen patients two patient has finding of another defect which was far laterally placed than original defect. One patient with a hernia at right hypogastrum had got defect at right iliac fossa and another patient with a hernia at right lower abdomen got defect of size 0.5 x 0.5 cm at the lateral border of rectus near the semilunar line which could be a Spigelian hernia. The average size of mesh used was of diameter of 140 cm² in range of 120 cm²–225 cm². Mean duration of operating time were 78.84 minutes (SD = 22.62) in range of 60 to 120 minutes (Table 1).

Out of total thirteen patients, five (38.40%) complained mild to moderate pain while two (15.35%) had severe and prolonged pain postoperatively. One patient (7.69%) developed acute retention of urine in the immediate post-operative period. Four patients (30.76%) developed mild to moderate seroma, and one (7.69%) developed hematoma at hernia site postoperatively. All of these resolved spontaneously within three months follow up period. Two patients (15.38%) has got cellulitis around 10 mm port site with the consequent discharge of pus. Out of these two patients, one (7.69%) had developed chronic infected discharging sinus which ultimately required re-surgery and removal of mesh. Probably this was the reason, recurrence of a hernia happened in this patient only (Table 3).

DISCUSSIONS

Finding of only thirteen cases of non-midline ventral abdominal wall hernia during four years at tertiary care teaching institute itself suggests that it is not a common type of a hernia. Maybe this is the reason availability of studies or reports in respect of a non-midline hernia is very sparse and whatever literature available is of a limited number of series and sporadic case report.¹-³ Shortage of literature is not only limited to research

Table 2: Important clinical feature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signs and Symptoms</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Swelling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducible</td>
<td>38.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially reducible</td>
<td>46.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-reducible</td>
<td>07.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pain</td>
<td>38.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Anatomical location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above the line of umbilicus</td>
<td>38.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Around the line of umbilicus</td>
<td>38.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below the line of umbilicus</td>
<td>23.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Operative details including complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Average / Range / % of total patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operative time (in minutes)</td>
<td>78.4 (60 – 120) S.D. = 22.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defect size</td>
<td>(vertical x horizontal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Diameter</td>
<td>6.661 cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Smallest (0.5 x 0.5) cm. /</td>
<td>Largest (7 x 5) cm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td>Compli</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Average time taken for surgery in our series were 88.4 minutes which was little more than average operative time reported by another series. It may be due to the complexity of a non-midline hernia and individual surgeon experience. Different postoperative complications in our series seem to be of the little higher side it may be due to small sample size and complexity of non-midline hernia.

One patient was required for removal of his mesh due to infection and not responding to other conservative management. Same and only patient in our series reported recurrence which overall percentage would be 07.69%. In most of the series recurrence rate reported are between about four to seven percent which is quite comparable to our result.

Most of the reported studies found a reduction in the duration of operating time and surgical complications with an increase in the experience of a surgeon. The same thing is true here with author’s finding, as apart from a reduction of the time duration of surgery with experience, one patient with a spontaneous right subcostal hernia which has got recurrence was a first patient of this series of non-midline ventral hernia.

CONCLUSION
Even though non-midline abdominal wall hernias are comparatively uncommon and surgically challenging, overall their epidemiological profile, presentation, complications, and recurrences are not much different than those of midline ventral hernias.

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE
Non-midline abdominal wall hernia even at its early stage presents in more symptomatic manner, and because of its rarity and complexity an experienced surgeon must supervise the beginners.
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