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ABSTRACT

Background: Hemorrhoidal disease is one of the most frequently encountered anorectal conditions in the clinical practice. A variety of instruments including circular staplers, harmonic scalpel, laser, and bipolar electrothermal devices are currently used when performing hemorrhoidectomy grades III and IV.

Objective: This study compares outcomes between hemorrhoidectomy performed with harmonic scalpel and conventional methods.

Materials and methods: A prospective randomized study of consecutive 50 patients who underwent hemorrhoidectomy between January 2017 and October 2017. Patients were randomly enrolled in two different groups. Group I consisted of 25 patients who underwent hemorrhoidectomy using an ultrasonic scalpel device (harmonic) and group II with 25 patients who had conventional hemorrhoidectomy.

Results: The patients' demographics data and clinical characteristics were similar in both groups. The harmonic group had a shorter operation time, less postoperative pain, less postoperative bleeding, and shorter hospital stay.

Conclusion: Harmonic scalpel hemorrhoidectomy appears to be a better procedure for symptomatic grades III and IV hemorrhoids with ease of operating due to less bleeding, less postoperative pain, and patient acceptance. Long-term follow-up with larger scale studies is required.
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INTRODUCTION

Hemorrhoidectomy is the standard treatment for patients with grade III or IV hemorrhoids.¹ Milligan and Morgan open hemorrhoidectomy or Ferguson closed hemorrhoidectomy is still the gold standard for surgical treatment of symptomatic hemorrhoids.² However, both are associated with significant postoperative pain and complications such as urinary retention, constipation, postoperative bleeding, anal incontinence, and anal stenosis.³

As a result, various types of surgical equipment have been introduced to overcome the postoperative pain and bleeding.

The operative procedures vary from conventional cautery dissection to vessel-sealers, harmonic scalpels, laser hemorrhoidectomy, and stapling devices.

A harmonic scalpel is a device that simultaneously cuts and coagulates tissues by producing a vibration of 55.5 kHz. When compared with conventional electrosurgical devices, this ultrasonic cutting and coagulating device has advantages such as causing minimal lateral tissue injury 1–3 mm wide, less fumes, more localized impact,⁴ better hemostasis, less stimulation to neuromuscular tissues, and local control of the surgical site compared to a hemorrhoidectomy performed with surgical scissors or monopolar electric cautery.⁵

The aim of this study was to analyze and compare between conventional hemorrhoidectomy and hemorrhoidectomy performed with harmonic scalpel.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective randomized study involving 50 patients who were operated for symptomatic grade III and IV hemorrhoids, in Sabah Hospital, Kuwait, between January 2017 and October 2017.

Results:

The patients' demographics data and clinical characteristics were similar in both groups. The harmonic group had a shorter operation time, less postoperative pain, less postoperative bleeding, and shorter hospital stay.

Conclusion:

Harmonic scalpel hemorrhoidectomy appears to be a better procedure for symptomatic grades III and IV hemorrhoids with ease of operating due to less bleeding, less postoperative pain, and patient acceptance. Long-term follow-up with larger scale studies is required.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS
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Results
The mean age of patients who underwent harmonic scalpel hemorrhoidectomy and conventional hemorrhoidectomy was 30 ± 9.2 years and 35.8 ± 5 years, respectively. The mean hospital stays were 1 ± 0.1 days for group I and 2.5 ± 0.6 days for conventional hemorrhoidectomy. The mean operating time of the harmonic scalpel group was 10 ± 0.7 minutes and 20.5 ± 2.2 minutes for conventional hemorrhoidectomy (p < 0.05). This difference was statistically significant and is shorter in the harmonic group. There was no significant difference between group I and group II in terms of the number of excised hemorrhoids. In the conventional group, one patient had minor bleeding on postoperative day 1; conversely in the harmonic group, no bleeding occurred for any patients. One patient had urinary retention in group II and none from group I, the harmonic scalpel group.

No anal stenosis or incontinence was noted in either group. The postoperative pain scores were 5.4 ± 0.5 vs 6.8 ± 1.2 on postoperative day 1 (POD1) and 1.5 ± 1.2 vs 4.1 ± 0.6 on POD3 in the harmonic scalpel group and conventional hemorrhoidectomy group, respectively; these differences were statistically significant (p < 0.05) Table 1 and Figure 1.

Discussion
Hemorrhoidectomy is one of the most common surgical procedures performed in Al-Sabah Hospital, Kuwait. Hemorrhoidectomy is the most effective and definitive treatment for symptomatic hemorrhoids. Traditional hemorrhoidectomy techniques, including a Milligan–Morgan open hemorrhoidectomy and a Ferguson closed hemorrhoidectomy, are known to be very effective and appropriate treatment for grade III and IV hemorrhoids. However, the traditional surgical methods are accompanied by complications such as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Harmonic scalpel group (25 patients) I group</th>
<th>Conventional hemorrhoidectomy group (25 patients) II group</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>30.1 ± 9.2</td>
<td>35.8 ± 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating time (minutes)</td>
<td>10 ± 0.7</td>
<td>20.5 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative bleeding</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary retention</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anal incontinence</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative pain 24 hours</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative pain 48 hours</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative pain 72 hours</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: Outcome of harmonic scalpel vs conventional hemorrhoidectomy
Hemorrhoidectomy with Harmonic Scalpel vs Conventional Hemorrhoidectomy

postoperative pain and bleeding. Recently, hemorrhoidectomy done with newly developed equipment has been reported to result in less postoperative pain and bleeding, shorter operation times, and shorter hospital stays.\textsuperscript{7–10}

The harmonic scalpel is an ultrasonically activated instrument with sound waves as its source of power, which vibrates at a rate of 55,000 times per second. It is known for its ability to coagulate small and medium-sized vessels; thus potentially it may minimize postoperative swelling and edema to the surrounding tissue.\textsuperscript{11} The harmonic scalpel possesses the unique advantage of causing very little lateral thermal injury: <1.5 mm at the surgical site is translated into decreased postoperative pain.\textsuperscript{12}

Pain following Milligan–Morgan procedure can be explained by positioning the ligature onto the vascular root of the hemorrhoid, while electrocautery and laser procedures cause significant heat damage to the sensitive perihemorrhoid area. Reduction of this heat is considered to be the main reason for decreasing the level of pain after harmonic scalpel hemorrhoidectomy. This study clearly demonstrates the superior pain control profile of harmonic scalpel in hemorrhoidectomy and less need for analgesics.

Another positive aspect of harmonic scalpel hemorrhoidectomy is good hemostasis. Harmonic scalpel has proved to be effective in larger blood vessels as explained by colorectal surgery.\textsuperscript{13}

The harmonic scalpel hemorrhoidectomy requires patience for cutting tissues and for good hemostasis, since the ultrasonic scissors have a slower pace. It is important to avoid pulling the tissue during cutting. Pulling leads to cutting tissues without adequate hemostasis.

**Conclusion**

Harmonic scalpel hemorrhoidectomy is a safe and effective procedure with fewer complications compared to conventional hemorrhoidectomy. Long-term follow-up with larger-scale studies is required.
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