Safety and Efficacy of Laparoscopic Appendectomy in Pregnant Females

Mohamed F Amin1, Morsi M Morsi2, Mostafa M Elaidy3, Mohamed S Badr4, Ahmed M Farag5, Safaa A Ibrahim6

Abstract

Introduction: Laparoscopic surgery during pregnancy is contraindicated absolutely or relatively through the last decade; however, laparoscopic appendectomy (LA) is still performed in pregnant women.

Materials and methods: Thirty-one pregnant females with a diagnosis of acute appendicitis and managed with LA or OA in the emergency unit of the department of general surgery from June 2015 to December 2017.

Results: Eighteen patients underwent LA, while 13 patients underwent OA. No difference was noticed between both groups regarding the operative duration, and fetal and maternal outcomes. However, the group of LA had faster first flatus and shorter inpatient duration than the OA group.

Conclusion: Laparoscopic appendectomy is distinguished with efficacy and safety procedure throughout pregnancy and should be considered a good replacement for open appendectomy.
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Introduction

Abdominal pain during pregnancy can be caused by variant obstetric and nonobstetric pathologies that made diagnosing of acute appendicitis during pregnancy quite a challenging problem. Limitations of CT scanning, physiological and anatomical changes during pregnancy like physiological leukocytosis that could be associated with pregnancy were also contributing factors for difficult diagnosis.

Acute appendicitis is the most common nonobstetric condition requiring urgent surgical intervention during pregnancy, with an estimated incidence between 0.05% and 0.13%.\(^2\)

The rate of complicated appendicitis is much higher in pregnant women.\(^3\) Delay in diagnosis increases the peril of complications to the mother and fetus when acute appendicitis is suspected and an aggressive approach is recommended.\(^4\)

Laparoscopic appendectomy during pregnancy is recommended in the first and second trimesters. Regarding the third trimester, there are no clear guidelines for performing laparoscopic appendectomy.\(^5\) The advantages of laparoscopic appendectomy over open appendectomy include less postoperative pain, early discharge, less risk for wound infection, and giving feasibility for laparoscopic abdominal exploration.\(^1,6\)

In this study, we assessed the safety and efficacy of laparoscopic appendectomy in pregnant females.

Materials and Methods

This retrospective was carried out in the emergency unit of the General Surgery Department, Zagazig University from June 2015 to December 2017. Thirty-one pregnant women were included in the study. All of them were suffering from abdominal pain in the right lower quadrant with or without fever, suggesting acute appendicitis. All patients underwent perioperative obstetric consultation and fetal monitoring. Complete blood count and pelvi-abdominal ultrasound were carried out to confirm the diagnosis and assess pregnancy. All preoperative data including age, history of previous section, gestation age at operation, and accuracy of the diagnostic U/S were recorded. Also, all operative data including the surgery duration, return time to normal bowel movement, inpatient length, postoperative complications, and final pathology were recorded. Obstetric and fetal data including the incidence of preterm labor, delivery type, and fetal mortality were also recorded.

Laparoscopic Appendectomy Technique in Pregnant Females

The procedure was done in the supine position with a slight tilt to the left side (20–30°). The procedure was done under general anesthesia with maintained continuous end tidal volume CO\(_2\) monitoring. Insertion of a Foley catheter was also done along with application of pneumatic compression devices on the legs. A prophylactic antibiotic was administered. Also, prophylactic tocolysis was administered. We performed the operation by insertion of three ports. The first one, a supraumbilical 10 mm port according to the size of the uterus (3–4 cm above the uterine...
fundus) for the camera, was inserted by open method (HASSON method) to avoid injury to the uterus. Another two working 5 mm ports were inserted on both sides depending on the gestation age. Pneumoperitoneum by CO₂ was adjusted to be (10–12 mm Hg). The appendix was elevated and the mesoappendix was divided using the bipolar diathermy or harmonic scalpel. The appendiceal stump was ligated using endo-loop or intracorporeal stitches. Retrieval of the appendix in a glove was done through the umbilical port site (Fig. 1). A drain was inserted to be removed after 1–2 days postoperative.

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as means ± standard deviations. Groups were compared using the Mann–Whitney U test or χ² test, as appropriate. SPSS version 14.0 for Windows was used for all statistical comparisons, and we considered results to be significant at p < 0.05.

Results

Thirty-one pregnant patients were selected for our study. Eighteen patients underwent laparoscopic appendectomy (LA), while thirteen patients had an open appendectomy (OA). The mean age of the LA group was 26 ± 2.8 years and that of the OA group was 29.2 ± 3.2 years. There were no significant differences in the BMI or the gestation age at operation between the two groups. Regarding all patients, seven patients (4 LA and 3 OA) were in the 1st trimester, 16 patients (11 LA and 5 OA) were in the 2nd trimester, and eight patients (3 LA and 5 OA) were in the 3rd trimester. In all patients, preoperative ultrasound was done with a false positive rate (16.13%) and a false negative rate (12.9%) for all patients (Table 1).

Table 1: Preoperative demographic and clinical data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perioperative data</th>
<th>LA (18 patients)</th>
<th>OA (13 patients)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>18–29 (26 ± 2.8) years</td>
<td>23–35 (29.2 ± 3.2) years</td>
<td>0.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>23.4 ± 3.1</td>
<td>23.1 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.366*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestation age at operation</td>
<td>18.4 ± 6.2 weeks</td>
<td>18.6 ± 5.4 weeks</td>
<td>0.317*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st trimester</td>
<td>4 (22.22%)</td>
<td>3 (23.08%)</td>
<td>0.342**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd trimester</td>
<td>11 (61.11%)</td>
<td>5 (38.46%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd trimester</td>
<td>3 (16.67%)</td>
<td>5 (38.46%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous CS</td>
<td>4 (22.22%)</td>
<td>7 (53.85%)</td>
<td>0.069**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative U/S</td>
<td>18 (100%)</td>
<td>13 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False-positive</td>
<td>2 (11.11%)</td>
<td>3 (23.08%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False-negative</td>
<td>2 (11.11%)</td>
<td>2 (15.38%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* t test p value
**Chi-square test p value

Regarding the final histopathology of the appendix, in the laparoscopic cases normal appendix was presented in two patients, acute suppurative in ten cases, while complicated appendix was presented in six cases. In open cases, normal appendix was presented in three patients, acute suppurative in six cases, while complicated appendix was presented in four cases (Table 2).

The duration of surgery in LA in this study was 40 ± 18.4 minutes, and in the OA was 45 ± 15.6 minutes. The time of the first flatus and the time of starting oral fluid were earlier in LA. Postoperative complications occurred in three patients. One patient developed intra-abdominal abscess two weeks after a laparoscopic appendectomy. She was 25 years old with gestation age of 25 weeks, was treated with application of US-guided pigtail, and antibiotics. She completed her pregnancy and delivered a healthy male baby by C.S. Two patients developed wound infection after an open appendectomy, and it was managed with repeated dressing and antibiotics (Table 3).

In our study, there was no mortality and all patients had uncomplicated deliveries. One patient had a preterm labor of a healthy female baby that entered the incubator for two weeks and discharged without comorbidity. The two groups had the same results regarding the fetal outcomes with no problems or morbidity (Table 4).
discussIOn
The most common abdominal surgery during pregnancy for nonobstetric causes is acute appendicitis, and its incidence is similar to that in nonpregnant women; the diagnosis is difficult because of the physiologic and anatomic changes that occur during pregnancy.8 The risk for appendicitis does not appear to be increased by pregnancy, but the incidence of perforated appendicitis in pregnant women is much higher than in the general population.9 Complicated appendicitis can lead to maternal and fetal morbidity and even fetal loss, so pregnant women should undergo immediate surgery when appendicitis is suspected, regardless of the gestation age of the fetus.9

Acute appendicitis can present at any trimester but half of the cases can be seen at the 2nd trimester, an observation published by Kapan et al. In our study, more than half of the cases were presented in the 2nd trimester. But in a study by Kazar et al. and Mazze et al., they observed that the most accurate diagnosis for acute appendicitis was during the first trimester.10

It was known that the change in the physiology and the anatomy during pregnancy made the diagnosis of acute appendicitis more difficult in pregnant women.7 The number of negative laparoscopic and open exploration rates during pregnancy ranges from 0% to 50% and 15% to 50%, respectively.11 In our study, the negative appendectomy rate was 16.13% (five patients) and it was 11.11% (two patients) in LA and 23.08% (three patients) in OA. In a study by Jun Chul et al., the overall negative appendectomy rate was 9.8% (9.1% for the LA group and 10.3% for the OA group).7

In our study, there was no conversion of laparoscopic to open because the operation is done by a highly experienced laparoscopic surgeon. Walsh et al. reported 1% as the rate of conversion of laparoscopic to open appendectomy. In this study, none of our procedures converted from laparoscopic to open appendectomy.12 Diagnostic imaging studies are often used to clarify a confusing clinical picture. Ultrasonography is widely used as a first-line diagnostic test because of its safety for the mother and fetus and its relatively high sensitivity and specificity for many intra-abdominal processes. In our study, U/S was done in all patients; acute appendicitis was found in 77.78% (14 patients) in LA, and was found in 69.23% (nine patients) in OA. In a study by Chung et al., acute appendicitis was found in 15 (68.2%) patients in the LA group and 28 (71.8%) in the OA group.7

In the last decades, the treatment of choice for acute appendicitis during pregnancy was open appendectomy. But recently, laparoscopic appendectomy could be done in pregnant women with good maternal and fetal outcomes.13 Our study supported the safety of LA; the outcomes of LA and OA were the same. Moreover, some proven advantages of LA, including better intraoperative visualization, decreased surgical trauma, decreased gravid uterine manipulation, shorter postoperative hospital stay, and faster return to work, maybe even more important in pregnant women.14 In our study, the LA group had an earlier recovery of bowel function and shorter hospital stay.

Guidelines for laparoscopic procedures during pregnancy have previously been published by the Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES)15 and modifications were proposed by Moreno-Sanz et al.16 A pneumoperitoneum pressure of 10–12 mm Hg is recommended as previous animal studies have demonstrated fetal hypercapnia and acidosis secondary to CO2 pneumoperitoneum in pregnant females.15 In our study, pneumoperitoneum was adjusted to 10–12 mm Hg throughout the duration of the operation. It has been recommended to position the patient on her left side during surgery to prevent uterine compression of the inferior vena cava and to facilitate access to the appendix.17

One of the most important concerns during LA in pregnancy is the potential risk of injury to the gravid uterus during ports insertion. The Veress needle or the Hasson open technique can be used to gain initial abdominal access. Even though complications have been described for all methods, spontaneous puncture of the uterus with a Veress needle is the most serious.19 Friedman and colleagues10 reported results in a young pregnant woman at 21 weeks’ gestation who underwent LA for suspected appendicitis. Injury to the serosa of the gravid uterus with the Veress needle resulted in postoperative pneumoamnion with subsequent fetal loss. In our study, we insert the camera port supraumbilical 3–4 cm above the uterine fundus with open method (HASSON method) according to the SAGES guidelines for laparoscopy during pregnancy.15

Stasis of blood in the lower limbs is common during pregnancy, so pregnant women are at high risk of thromboembolic complications. According to the SAGES guidelines, pneumatic compression devices were recommended to be used during intraoperative and postoperative periods with early postoperative ambulation to prevent deep vein thrombosis in pregnant patients,19 and this was applied in the study with no postoperative thromboembolic complications.

The risk of preterm labors with any operative interference during pregnancy was reported to be 10–15%. The same was observed after laparoscopic or open appendectomies that were reported by Kazar and Roslyn.10 The overall rate of preterm labors was one patient (3.22%) in LA.

In conclusion, laparoscopic appendectomy is distinguished by safety and efficacy throughout pregnancy and associated with good maternal and fetal outcomes, similar to those of open appendectomy. In addition to all the advantages of laparoscopy, LA

| Table 3: Operative outcomes of laparoscopic and open appendectomy during pregnancy |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Outcomes                        | LA (18 patients) | OA (13 patients) | p value         |
| Operative duration              | 40 ± 18.4 minutes | 45 ± 15.6 minutes | 0.284*           |
| Time to 1st flatus              | 1.4 ± 0.5 days   | 2.7 ± 1.2 days   | 1*              |
| Time to oral fluid              | 2.2 ± 0.4 days   | 4.1 ± 1.9 days   | 1*              |
| Length of hospital stay         | 3.2 ± 1.8 days   | 5.9 ± 2.6 days   | 0.9*            |
| Complications                   | 1 (pelvic abscess) | 2 (wound infection) | 0.361**          |

* t test p value
** Chi-square test p value

| Table 4: Obstetric outcomes of laparoscopic and open appendectomy during pregnancy |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Outcomes                        | LA (18 patients) | OA (13 patients) | χ² p value     |
| Preterm labor                   | 1 (5.56%)       | 0               | 1               |
| CS delivery                     | 13 (72.22%)     | 9 (69.23%)      | 0.856           |
| Vaginal delivery                | 5 (27.78%)      | 4 (30.77%)      | 0.856           |
is associated with shorter postoperative stay, earlier restoration of bowel function, and low incidence of trauma to the gravid uterus.
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